## EXAFS data analysis

# Extraction of EXAFS signal

#### **Experimental EXAFS spectrum**



#### Data analysis - Absorption coefficient



#### Data analysis - The EXAFS signal



#### Two distances seen by EXAFS



#### The phase's effect



#### The back-scattering function

Rolly Grisenti University of Trento (Italy)



#### Their effect on EXAFS signal

Rolly Grisenti University of Trento (Italy)



#### The Debye-Waller damping effect

Rolly Grisenti University of Trento (Italy)



#### The m.f.p. damping effect

Rolly Grisenti University of Trento (Italy)



## Weighting the EXAFS signal



#### EXAFS signals: examples



#### Quantitative analysis

Rolly Grisenti University of Trento (Italy)





Input for each path:

- backscattering amplitude
- phaseshifts
- inelastic terms

Different analysis procedures

# EXAFS data analysis

# ▲ Fourier transform

#### Data analysis - Fourier Transform k $\rightarrow$ r



#### Fourier Transform and distribution



#### 26 - Iron: bcc structure



#### 29 - Copper: fcc structure



#### 32 - Germanium: diamond structure

i	Ni		R <sub>i</sub> (Å)
1	4	a(√3)/4	2.45
2	12	a/√2	4.00
3	12	a(√11)/4	4.69
4	6	а	5.66
5	12	a(√19)/4	6.16
6	24	a(√6)/2	6.93



Rolly Grisenti University of Trento (Italy)



a = 5.66 Å

#### 32-Ge: crystalline and amorphous



## EXAFS data analysis

# Fourier back-transform

#### Data analysis - Fourier Back-transform $r \rightarrow k$



#### The cumulants



#### The cumulants

Rolly Grisenti University of Trento (Italy)

Degrees of disorder

$$\chi(k) = N \left| f(k,\pi) \right| \frac{S_0^2 e^{-2C_1/\lambda}}{k C_1^2} \exp\left(2k^2 C_2\right) \exp\left(\frac{2}{3}k^4 C_4 + \dots\right) \sin\left[2k C_1 - \frac{4}{3}k^3 C_3 + \dots + \phi(k)\right]$$



#### **EXAFS** for one shell



#### Data analysis - Independent parameters



## EXAFS data analysis

# Phase and amplitude analysis

#### Phase and amplitude



#### **Direct Fourier transform**



#### **Inverse Fourier transform**



#### Real and imaginary part



$$\hat{\chi}(k) = -\frac{\hat{A}(k)}{2i} \exp\left[-i\hat{\Phi}(k)\right]$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} \hat{A}(k) \left[\sin \hat{\Phi}(k) + i \cos \hat{\Phi}(k)\right]$$
Real Imaginary
$$\mathbf{k}$$

Complex filtered signal

#### Calculation of phase and amplitude

Rolly Grisenti University of Trento (Italy)

Amplitude

$$\hat{A}(k) = 2\sqrt{\left[\operatorname{Re}\hat{\chi}(k)\right]^2 + \left[\operatorname{Im}\hat{\chi}(k)\right]^2}$$

Total phase  

$$\hat{\Phi}(k) = \tan^{-1} \left[ \frac{\operatorname{Re} \hat{\chi}(k)}{\operatorname{Im} \hat{\chi}(k)} \right]$$





$$A(k) = \frac{S_0^2 e^{-2C_1/\lambda}}{C_1^2} \left[ f(k,\pi) \right] N \exp\left[ -2k^2 C_2 + \frac{2}{4}k^4 C_4 + \ldots \right] \qquad \Phi(k) = 2kC_1 - \frac{4}{3}k^3 C_3 + \ldots + \phi(k)$$
  
32

#### "Ratio method" - phases

If suitable model compound available ...

$$\Phi^{s} - \Phi^{m} = 2k(C_{1}^{s} - C_{1}^{m}) - \frac{4}{3}k^{3}(C_{3}^{s} - C_{3}^{m})$$
$$\frac{\Phi^{s} - \Phi^{m}}{2k} = (C_{1}^{s} - C_{1}^{m}) - \frac{4}{3}k^{2}(C_{3}^{s} - C_{3}^{m})$$

$$\frac{\Phi^{s} - \Phi^{m}}{2k} \left\{ \begin{array}{c} \Delta C_{3} = 0 \\ k^{2} \end{array} \right\}$$

#### "Ratio method" - amplitudes



#### "Ratio method" - results

Ratio of coordination numbers



$$C_0^s - C_0^m = -2 \frac{C_1^s - C_1^m}{\lambda} - 2 \left[ \ln C_1^s - \ln C_1^m \right]$$

#### Relative values of cumulants



#### "Ratio method" - OK when ...

Rolly Grisenti University of Trento (Italy)

- Only Single Scattering
- Only one distance

$$\chi(k) = A(k)\sin\Phi(k)$$

- Suitable reference model available
  - First coordination shell, one distance
    - Same sample-model chemical environment T or p-dep. Studies Amorphous .vs. crystalline samples



- 1st shell, different sample-model chemical environment
- Separated outer shells, weak M.S.



- 1st shell in bcc structure (2 distances)
- Superposed outer shells
- M.S. contributions

Depending on sought accuracy

#### Copper 1st shell - ratio method



## EXAFS data analysis

# Fitting with a theoretical model

#### The FeO example

#### EXAFS Analysis: Modeling the 1st Shell of FeO

FeO has a rock-salt structure.

To model the FeO EXAFS, we calculate the scattering amplitude f(k) and phase-shift  $\delta(k)$ , based on a guess of the structure, with Fe-O distance R = 2.14 Å (a regular octahedral coordination).



We'll use these functions to *refine* the values  $\mathbf{R}$ ,  $\mathbf{N}$ ,  $\sigma^2$ , and  $\mathbf{E}_0$  so our model EXAFS function matches our data.



Fit results:

N
 = 5.8 
$$\pm$$
 1.8

 R
 = 2.10  $\pm$  0.02Å

  $\Delta E_0$ 
 = -3.1  $\pm$  2.5 eV

  $\sigma^2$ 
 = 0.015  $\pm$  0.005 Å<sup>2</sup>.

 $|\chi(R)|$  for FeO (blue), and a 1 st shell fit (red).

#### The first shell

#### EXAFS Analysis: 1st Shell of FeO



#### $1^{\mathrm{st}}$ shell fit in k space.

The  $1^{st}$  shell fit to FeO in k space.

There is clearly another component in the XAFS!

#### $1^{\rm st}$ shell fit in R space.

 $|\chi(\mathbf{R})|$  and  $\operatorname{Re}[\chi(\mathbf{R})]$  for FeO (blue), and a 1<sup>st</sup> shell fit (red).

Though the fit to the magnitude didn't look great, the fit to  $\operatorname{Re}[\chi(R)]$  looks very good.

#### EXAFS Analysis: Second Shell of FeO

To adding the second shell Fe to the model, we use calculation for f(k) and  $\delta(k)$  based on a guess of the Fe-Fe distance, and refine the values  $\mathbf{R}$ ,  $\mathbf{N}$ ,  $\sigma^2$ . Such a fit gives a result like this:



 $|\chi(\mathbf{R})|$  data for FeO (blue), and fit of 1<sup>st</sup> and 2<sup>nd</sup> shells (red).

The results are fairly consistent with the known values for crystalline FeO: 6 O at 2.13Å, 12 Fe at 3.02Å.

Fit results (uncertainties in parentheses):

Shell	Ν	${f R}$ (A)	$\sigma^2$ (Ų)	$\Delta E_0$ (eV)
Fe-O	6.0(1.0)	2.10(.02)	0.015(.003)	-2.1(0.8)
Fe-Fe	11.7(1.3)	3.05(.02)	0.014(.002)	-2.1(0.8)

#### EXAFS Analysis: Second Shell of FeO



Other views of the data and two-shell fit:

The Fe-Fe EXAFS extends to higher-k than the Fe-O EXAFS.

Even in this simple system, there is some overlap of shells in R-space.

The agreement in  $\text{Re}[\chi(R)]$  look especially good – this is how the fits are done.

Of course, the modeling can get more complicated than this!



#### A free program for EXAFS data analysis



Load data			×
file name:			Piela H
CGE002.DAT		.*.* H:\-\-\-GE_CU_AGEGA_LURE_96\DAT	- K
AGE001.DAT AGE002.DAT AGE003.DAT AGE004.DAT APCINF0.DAT	7267 10283 21605 943 7856	19.01.00       16.03       []         19.01.00       16.03       [-a-]         19.01.00       16.03       [-c-]         19.01.00       16.03       [-d-]         19.01.00       16.03       [-d-]         19.01.00       16.03       [-f-]	
CGE001.DAT CGE002.DAT	7272 21610	19.01.00 16:03 [-g-] 17.07.96 15:10 [-h-]	ОК
CGE003.DAT CGE004.DAT CGE005.DAT	21610 21610 21610	17.07.36 17.42 17.07.96 19:24 17.07.96 21:03	Cancel
CGE006.DAT CGE007.DAT	21610 21610	19.01.00 16:03 19.01.00 16:03	Edit file
file format: LURE old		Formats	Help

## Loading data

#### Reading raw data

Rolly Grisenti

University of Trento (Italy)



#### B.G subtraction and FT

Rolly Grisenti University of Trento (Italy)



#### Enlarged view of the edge region



## Legend



#### Details about the FT



#### Isolation of the first shell



#### First shell BFT

Rolly Grisenti

University of Trento (Italy)



#### Amplitude and phase calculation



#### BFT details with calculated amplitude and phase



#### Athena: another (free) program for EXAFS

Athena	
ile Edit Group Plot Mark Data Align Merge Diff Analysis	Settings He
Project	Data groups (modified)
Current group         CGE003.DAT           File:         NTALI/GE_CU_AGEGA_LURE_96/DAT/CGE003.DAT           Z:         Ge         Edge:         K         Importance:         1	CGE003.DAT
Background removal E0: 11103 X E shift: 4.059 Rbkg: 1.0 X Standard: None       Background: Autobk	Plot current group in E k R q kq Plot marked group in
Forward Fourier transform         k-weight:       1       dk:       1       window type:       kaiser-bessel	Plotting options          E       k       R       q       Stack       Ind       PF         Image: mu(E)       Image
Backward Fourier transform dr: 0.2 window type: kaiser-bessel — R-range: 1.0 X to 3.0 X Plotting parameters	<ul> <li>pre-edge line</li> <li>post-edge line</li> <li>Normalized</li> </ul>

**BG** removal



#### FT of the two samples



#### Back FT of the first shell

File Edit View Mode Window Options Help



## Amplitude-Phase analysis

File Edit Group Plot Mark Data Align M	Merge Diff Analysis	Settings Help
File Edit Group Plot Mark Data Align N Log-Ratio/Phase-E Standard: 2: CGE Unknown: CGE003 Fourier transform and fitting parameters k-range of FT: 3 k-weight: 2 R-range of BFT: 1.0 2pi jump: 1 1/2 k-range of fit: 5	Analysis     Difference Analysis     030.DAT     ±     •      •     •     •     •     •     •     •     •     •     •     •     •     •     •     • <th>Settings Help Data groups (modified) CGE003.DAT CGE030.DAT</th>	Settings Help Data groups (modified) CGE003.DAT CGE030.DAT
Fit Results Zeroth: 1.06771 +/- 0.00863 First: 0.00918 +/- 0.00021 Second: 0.00185 +/- 0.00011	Plot current group in     E k R q kq	
Plot log-ratio + fit	Plot phase-difference + fit	E k R q Plotting options
Save ratio data & fit	Write log file	E k R q Stack Ind PF
Plot standard and unknown in		● chi*k^kw
k F	p S	Chi C
Document section: log ratio	● chi*k  ● ● chi*k  ●	
Doing log ratio/phase difference fit dee	al	<ul> <li>chi*k^3</li> <li>Window</li> <li>kmin: 0</li> <li>kmax: 20</li> </ul>

#### Amplitude analysis: $ln(N_s / N_m)$



#### Phase analysis : $\Phi_s - \Phi_m$



# Thank you for your attention